— REMOTE VIEWING — and the Psychic Intelligence Agents

A new breed of out-of-body detectives could secretly be scanning through closed doors, somewhere close to you.

Extracted from a speech given by (Retired) Major-General Albert N. Stubblebine, III at the International Symposium on UFO Research in May 1992.

[Downloaded from the InterNet via Pegasus computer networks.] [Introduction by Dr Steven Greer, covering (Retired) Major-General Stubblebine's military career as Commanding Officer of the US Army Intelligence and Security Command (INSCOM), his efforts to study unusual human performance for the US Army, his involvement as Chairman of the Board of Directors of Psi-Tech, his association with TREAT* and with Soviet technology transfer, etc.]

o what is remote viewing? [From now abbreviated as RV—ed.] First of all, it is a very systematic, very controlled method of accessing information that is not normally available by any other source. Accessible information that is not accessible by any other source.

It is independent of time, so I can go past, I can go present, I can go future. It is independent of location, so I can go anywhere on this Earth. I can go into any closet, I can go into any mind, I can access that information at any location that I choose.

It is independent of space, therefore I can access that information any place in, on or off the planet if I choose; and it is independent of countermeasures. I believe I'll put a caveat on that last one, because all of the research has not been done at this stage on the countermeasures. There are indications that some countermeasures are possible. We have bumped on indications of countermeasures, but that piece of research has not been done at this stage of the game. So I believe it is independent of most countermeasures, but I am not totally convinced that is independent of all countermeasures.

What is remote viewing *not*, if I can screw my English there? What will RV not do, okay? Well, first of all, it is not a panacea, it is not an end-all to all end-alls. It provides threads, it provides ideas, it provides detailed information, but it should not be used as an absolute panacea.

Information that's gleaned from the RV set needs to be taken and correlated with all other information that you know, in order to make the picture from which you then make decisions and recommendations.

It does not, at this stage of the game, do numbers well, nor does it do words or letters well. For instance, I could not go in and read a report that is in somebody's safe. I might be able to get the essence of that report but I could not read it. I can get sensings of it but I cannot at this stage go in and do the reading, okay?

It is not a tool to be used by the callous or the undisciplined, or those people who have, for want of another word or another thought, let me call it, an evil intent.

It is a tool that is to be used to help, not a tool that is to be used for illicit purposes, so that gives you a little bit of an idea of what it can do and what it can't do.

I frequently get the question, "What is the difference between RV and natural or personal psychic abilities?" I get that question very frequently from people who don't understand what I am talking about, so they say, "Ah! But I know a psychic who does," then they ask, "What is the difference between what you do in an RV sense and what they do in a pure natural, psychic fashion or manner?" There are a couple of differences, and the first one is the training. The training for our viewers is a one-year, six-stage training course.

There is an incredible amount of very carefully monitored, very carefully metred, very carefully controlled processes that are taught to all of our remote-viewers. As Steven Greer indicated, I am the Chairman of the Board of this company called Psi-Tech and we have six remote-viewers, all of whom have been through the one-year training as well as a lot of experience doing RV itself. Each one of those is a very well-trained, very controlled, very highly disciplined individual. They must be willing to follow the instructions, the programming, the methodology that is used.

The second major discipline in all of this is that there is a very carefully-established protocol or methodology through which and by which remote viewers are actually given their task, and do both their RV as well as their reporting.

So there is an incredibly tight protocol established to make sure that the information we are getting out of the data bank—and there is a huge data bank that exists out there—can be accessed.

Anybody can access that data bank! These remote-viewers did not have any special psychic abilities before we selected them for training. They were just like you and me—normal straight people whom we trained to do this process.

The difference between the two is, first of all, the training; second, the controlled mechanisms in which we handle our remote-viewers.

Now, let me walk you through a typical problem to give you some sense of how it occurs. Let's assume an airplane crash—it's a relatively easy task to do. All we do is we take three of our six viewers and we tell those three viewers as much information about the crash as we know, i.e., a) it was an airplane; b) it was Pan Am; c) it was flying over wherever, Colorado, and it was at such and such a time and it disappeared, and no one knows where it went, okay? What happened?

All we do with the other three is we give them a controlled

coordinate. The controlled coordinate is not the coordinate of the plane crash site, because we don't know where that is: it is nothing more than a control for the controller of the exercise to measure that all of the remote viewers are on the same sheet of music.

Each of the viewers now goes in and independently does his or her thing. Incidentally, all of our viewers right now are males; however, I have had female viewers. As a matter of fact, women do very, very well as long as we can get them to do the controlled protocol. I will tell you that one whom we tried to train, who

was already a natural psychic, did not work well because the natural psychic ability kept overriding the control mechanisms, and therefore we were having trouble making sure that everything stayed within the discipline and within the control box.

All six then go off independently and do their thing, and when they come back they write out their reports and provide each of the six reports to the controller. The controller now takes the six reports and begins to analyse them to see where the similarities and the differences are. From that, the controller decides whether he needs to send that viewer back into session for additional detail.

For instance, in this example, one viewer will get a tail number or an indication of a tail number—I told you numbers are hard, but the tail numbers are relatively large numbers and so sometimes you can get an indication of the tail number; the second one will get you a crash site that is on top of a mountain or a third of the way down the mountain; another one may get you a piece of a coordinate or get you a coordinate; another one will get you that everybody is dead, or most are dead but some are still alive—so you get different essences out of the six remote viewers.

The remote-viewers do not have to be at a single location, and most of ours are not. Many are in the larger Washington metropolitan area, but one of them is in Minnesota and another one is out in Kansas, so they do not need to be co-located when they are doing their work. Each session is about 45 minutes. We find that if you go much beyond 45 minutes, you begin to run into lapses in

the energy field and you begin to slip off with the quality of the information.

After the controller has taken a look at each one of the reports, he then decides what additional work needs to be done. Do you send it back to get additional data or are you satisfied with what you've got? Do you have enough now that you can go out and write a report for the individual or the company that paid you to do the RV to begin with?

So that gives you at least a sense of how one of these projects would work. Let me talk now about some examples.

I mentioned that you can go into the past or you can go into the future. Tomorrow, I believe you get two sessions: one is with Scott Jones who, I understand, is going to talk about *Tunguska*, which was a project that Psi-Tech did—obviously, the event in Tunguska happened way in the past.

You will also hear from Dr Laibow. Psi-Tech did a project for her and for TREAT* on the *Phobos 2* probe. That information was quite revealing; however, we have not released any of it at this stage because we are working with the Soviets and trying to get confirmation from the Soviets as to to the accuracy of the Psi-Tech RV report.

Incidentally, the *Phobos 2* probe just suddenly disappeared off the Soviet radar screen—it was operating and suddenly it wasn't.

There was a lot of curiosity about what occurred, and there has been a lot of speculation as to what really happened to the craft. If the remote-viewers are correct, it will be quite a revelation when we finally get the confirmation that we think is available out of the Soviet Union.

In any event, those two are projects of the past. Let me now talk about projects that were of the future, that are no longer of the future—but that were at the time they were done.

One project was that a very large corporation here in the United States wanted to know what the power source was going to

be on the lunar station on the Moon when it finally got there, and we said, "That sounds like an interesting project," so we took a look at that.

Now, that project had a couple of components: one was what was going to be the power source; and also there was an indication that they wanted to mine the rock on the moon, the lunar rock, in order to extract both the hydrogen and oxygen. When you combine the two, you get water which you can drink, and you also get energy which you can use for an energy source, but you also get oxygen which you can breathe, so it sounded kind of neat.

However, when we looked at it, that's not what we found. What we found was a small, portable existing nuclear reactor. That sounded neat because it was already here, it existed on this Earth. There was one problem: it wasn't in the United States. As a matter of fact, it was in the Soviet Union, and everybody said, "Oh, my goodness gracious, that can't possibly be." The client in this case said, "I didn't want to hear that answer; besides, I can't get to that reactor anyway because the Soviet is still a closed system."

Well, I don't know whether you have been reading the newspapers lately, but there is or has been in the newspapers a system, a Soviet system called *Topaz*, which the United States has just bought or arranged to buy. I don't think it's gotten here yet, but it was arranged to get it here. It is a small, portable existing nuclear reactor that is suitable for space. And that work was done for this corporation about two-and-a-half years earlier: the work was

done, but it was done for a future event, and in essence at least part of that future event appears to have taken place. The lunar exploration is actually up there and operating and that's the system they have.

The second kind of interesting project dealt with, again, a very large US corporation that had an extensive interest in the price of oil in the Middle East, as well as in the impact of what was going on in the Middle East and in what it would look like when it was all over. In other words, they really were interested in—after the dust settled over in that part of the world—what the situation was

going to be, so that this corporation could make up its mind how it wanted to posture itself vis-à-vis the then time-frame.

Now, the time-frame was August 1990; that was during Desert Shield—not Desert Storm, but Desert Shield. The project was to look inside Saddam Hussein's head and find out what he was thinking, where he was going and what he was going to do. Now this was ahead of time—I want you to know this was before Desert Storm; this was Desert Shield, alright? In spite of two assassination attempts he would still be there and he would still be in charge, okay? Well, one

of those assassination attempts has been verified, but I've never seen any intelligence or information or corroboration about the second assassination attempt.

The second piece of that not asked for, but clearly indicated, as picked up by the viewers as they looked at it, was a huge oil-fire, a huge oil conflagration. Well that's kind of interesting because that obviously also occurred.

Now let me get back to the psychics versus the remote viewers. I am not a trained remote-viewer: I am not a natural psychic, okay? However, I am highly visual and I do get lots of interesting images. About two months before the fires actually occurred in the Middle East, before Hussein actually set fire to the oil wells, I had seen an incredibly dramatic image and it was of a huge fire. I could see this incredible set of black smoke and I could see what looked to be vertical sticks, you know; I could see the base of it and I concluded that it was a forest fire. And so I took it out of Kuwait and I moved it somewhere else in the world because there are no trees in Kuwait to have a forest fire with, you know; logic tells you that there are no trees, therefore you cannot have a forest fire, therefore it cannot be Kuwait, boom, alright? Wrong! Wrong! The minutes those pictures came on TV, I knew instantaneously that that's what I had seen and that I had misplaced the trees with oil rigs, okay?

Now, what happened in that case is called overlay, and it is part of the protocol to remove that overlay from remote-viewers so you don't get that misconclusion or that misdirection.

It is precisely what we do in the controlled process: protocol that extracts the overlay away from the experience of the individuals. See, my experience says if you got stick you got trees, got trees you got forest fires, if you got forest fires you are not in Kuwait, right? Boom! See the logic? All of that was overlay that I put there myself. Now we don't allow that with our remote-viewers, and we do have a protocol that stops that dead in its tracks and removes that overlay so that we don't get the experiential piece in there—we get access to the database to bring it back and deliver it pure.

That's the system, and that's the primary difference between the pure psychics and what we believe to be the remote-viewers, or our trained remote-viewers. Let me talk to you about where you might take this kind of tool and do something with it—and again I am not sure I want to be on tape for this. We have looked at Mars, we have looked at UFOs, we've spent some time looking at Mars. Tomorrow I believe that you are going to hear a presentation on the Mars phenomena and, if I am correct, you will be told that there are structures on the surface of Mars.

I will tell you for the record that there are structures underneath the surface of Mars that cannot be seen by the *Voyager* cameras that went by in 1976, which is what you are going to hear tomor-

"As far as the UFOs are

concerned, they can be

accessed, they can be

tracked. We have looked at

the propulsion systems for

them—that's not a

hard job."

row. I will also tell you that there are machines on the surface of Mars and there are machines under the surface of Mars that you can look at. You can find out in detail, you can see what they are, where they are, who they are and a lot of detail about them.

Now, you can do that through RV, and I defy any sensor anywhere in this world today that can do that kind of analysis or give you those kinds of leads; it just doesn't exist today.

As far as the UFOs are concerned, they can be accessed, they can be tracked. We

have looked at the propulsion systems for them—that's not a hard job. You can track them back to where they come from, whether they come from a place here on this planet or whether they come from a place on another planet. They are trackable and you can take a look inside as well as outside.

So again, it is a tool that is available to be used for UFO research, and I guess that's the reason I am standing on this platform in spite of my misgivings, and feeling a little bit nervous like a tree on a *Lassie* program.

Last but not least, let me explore the fact that this technology has not been proven in terms of a Mars or a UFO kind of tool. I will tell you, though, because one of the things which I am sure is going through everybody's mind is: what is the statistical success rate, how good are you, how good are your remote-viewers, where do they stand? Are they 50-50?—because I can flip a coin and do that. Are they at 51 versus 49?—because, if they are, that's better than the flip of a coin.



Einstein in heaven

I will tell you that it's better than a B-plus. Now, for all of you who remember—I had trouble remembering that far back to high school, it was about 200 years ago, but if my recollection is correct, B-plus was about 85 per cent or better. If you do it properly and begin to take the project, get the large overview, take it down like a telescopic lens to the next layer, take it down to the next telescopic lens, cut out into the next layer and keep going down until you are down to the nuts and bolts, that number can go as high as a 95 per cent success rate.

It is a phenomenal tool. We have failures, yeah, we have some, not often, but we do. Occasionally you get noise, you get an override of some sort, and that's why I said I am not sure about all of the countermeasures at this stage of the game, okay?

[He then answered questions from the audience.]

[Questions on further details about Psi-Tech's protocols.]

Okay, let me answer the last one first. The protocol was developed by Ingo Swann who is himself a natural psychic, and he has patented the protocol. That's first and foremost. The second, where we get errors, the errors appear to come out of interference. Now what is interference? Interference appears to be a countermeasure of some sort, so there appear to be some areas we are having trouble accessing. It appears that when you have trouble accessing them, there is a wall that has been built up—I don't know, I guess I'd call it a psychic wall of

some sort.

[Question about mental state of the viewers.]

Completely passive. Totally passive, obviously, is a state of mind—the individual state of mind, heavily Theta. For those of you who understand brainwaves, it's a Theta brainwave state of mind that they are in. We've actually measured some of them, and they are very heavy in the Theta brainwave arena. It is totally passive as far as I can tell: there is no active instrument other than the mind that is accessing the information.

[Question on to what extent major governments are using RV.]

Rule one, I will not talk about anything that preceded my retirement, okay? I will not talk about anything that preceded my retirement. That is classified—that's a better way of expressing it. I made an oath; I have no intention of breaking that oath. Now, let's talk about foreign governments because that's a different story.

The Soviets have been doing RV for years. At one time, about six years ago, the second highest part of the Soviet budget was in paranormal research.

We have been in contact with, and as a matter of fact we simultaneously had on the platform at TREAT-IV in Atlanta, the president of Psi-Tech, Ed Dames, and the president of a Soviet civilian enterprise headed by Ivan Sokolov, who does the same thing in the Soviet Union. I think that's a first, okay? What we are trying to do together is to devise a project that will use the capabilities of both organisations, and the one we are focusing on right at the moment is finding and cleaning up the environmental hazards so that we begin to work on some of the incredible environmental problems that exist in the Soviet Union. So we picked the environment—it's benign, it doesn't get this country upset or this government upset, it doesn't get their government upset. It's some-

thing that needs to be done, and so we tried to pick something that is an absolutely benign topic so that everyone can support it forward.

I don't know if that answers all of your questions, but it gives you a little bit of a ramble.

[Question on UFOs.]

We got ten years of data that's stacked up, that we kept in the closet for many of the same reasons that a lot of—well, there are people who are now just barely holding up their hands and saying, yes, I think I believe in one those, at least publicly. There is about ten years of data that we've got stacked up on the UFO phenomenon—that's the good news. The bad news is that it's not all in the kind of order that it needs to be. It needs to be gone through, sorted, analysed. The problem with that, of course, is it costs money, and money doesn't grow everywhere for such projects.

[Question on Mars machinery.]

It's moving; the machinery is moving, so I don't know if it's from a leftover civilisation, if it's got a long-life battery, but it's better than any of the dolls we put out on Christmas, I tell you, okay?

[Question on more of the same.]

"The Soviets have been

doing RV for years. At one

time, about six years ago,

the second highest part of

the Soviet budget was in

paranormal research."

Yeah, it's a structure, that's what I say. There are structures on the surface, there are structures underneath, there are machines on top.

[Question on whether viewers need to be in trance.]

All the viewers chosen so far are known quantities. They are all people that I know personally, and that's very important because it goes back to that pitch that I made, or that notion that I made in the beginning, on what RV was not. I cannot afford to have anybody that does not have

absolute total integrity, and absolute total integrity that I can totally trust; so I demand total integrity, a totally integrative person, because I don't want this thing done in a way that will in any shape, form or fashion be construed as being outside the limits of normal conduct; so they are chosen very carefully. The second thing is, do they go into a trance? Well, I think I mentioned we measured some of them and they are in a Theta brainwave state. Okay, one more.

[Question on whether Psi-Tech will do research on crop circles.]

No, only because, you know, we are a for-profit organisation; Psi-Tech is a for-profit organisation, okay? And if somebody would like to have the crop circles looked at, we would be more than happy to look at crop circles. Now there has been some very casual looking, you know, just to kind of test what it was and what was going on; so there is some minor information, but we have not really done that as a process. Okay?

Let me say in conclusion, I think I want to burn that tape back there because I am not sure that I want any of this on tape.

[* TREAT = Treatment and Research of Experienced Anomalous Trauma. Refer to NEXUS 2#19, "Global News", and 2#16, "Psychic Warfare and Non-Lethal Weapons.] ∞